# **Information Retrieval & Data Mining** [COMP0084]

# Tracking COVID-19 using online search

Computer Science, UCL



# Vasileios Lampos

@lampos



- Estimate flu prevalence using web search activity A.
  - Lampos, Miller, Crossan, Stefansen. Advances in nowcasting influenza-like illness rates using search *query logs*. Scientific Reports **5** (12760), 2015. doi:10.1038/srep12760
- B. Transfer a disease model for one country to another country, based on web search activity (transfer learning)
  - Zou, Lampos, Cox. Transfer learning for unsupervised influenza-like illness models from online search data. WWW '19, pp. 2505-2516, 2019. doi:10.1145/3308558.3313477
- Modelling COVID-19 prevalence using web search activity
  - Lampos, Majumder, Yom-Tov et al. Tracking COVID-19 using online search. npj Digital Medicine 4 (17), 2021. doi:10.1038/s41746-021-00384-w













# Estimating flu prevalence using web search activity









### From web searches to influenza (flu) rates



Eysenbach (2006), AMIA; Polgreen et al. (2008), Clin. Infect. Dis.; Ginsberg et al. (2009), Nature





- **Complements** conventional syndromic surveillance systems
  - Iarger cohort
  - broader demographic coverage
  - broader, more granular geographic coverage
  - not affected by closure days and other temporal biases
  - ► timeliness
  - Iower cost
- Applicable to locations that lack an established health surveillance infrastructure
- Track **novel** infectious diseases



### Why estimate disease rates from web search?

- Conventional (traditional) syndromic surveillance methods: disease prevalence, i.e. the % of infected people in a population, is determined via doctor (GP) visits and other related indicators, such as laboratory-
  - Wagner et al. (2018), Sci. Rep.; Budd et al. (2020), Nat. Med.







confirmed infections, associated hospitalisations or deaths.

### Google Flu Trends (GFT) – discontinued

### google.org Flu Trends

### Google.org home

### Dengue Trends

Flu Trends

Home

Select country/regior 🗘

### How does this work?

<u>FAQ</u>

### Flu activity Intense High Moderate Low Minimal

### Explore flu trends around the world

We've found that certain search terms are good indicators of flu activity. Google Flu Trends uses aggregated Google search data to estimate flu activity. Learn more »





COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search

Language: English (United States)

+

### Ginsberg et al. (2009), Nature



- $logit(P) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times logit(Q) + \epsilon$
- P: percentage of doctor visits due to influnza-like illness (ILI) Q : aggregate frequency of a set of automatically selected search queries
- $\beta_0$ : regression intercept (bias)
- $\beta_1$ : regression weight (univariate regression)
  - $\epsilon$ : independent, zero-centered noise

### Main issue

What if some of the selected queries are spurious or, in general, relate differently to flu rates compared to other selected search queries? This model makes a very naïve assumption.



Google Flu Trends (GFT) – regression function

Ginsberg et al. (2009), Nature



### Google Flu Trends (GFT) – *shortcomings*







"evaluated" on just ~1 flu season! That is not a proper evaluation.



### Web search frequencies & flu rates: a nonlinear relationship





COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search

Lampos et al. (2015), Sci. Rep.



### Multivariate kernels on search query clusters

$$k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{C} k_{\text{SE}}\left(\mathbf{c}_{i}, \mathbf{c}_{i}'\right)\right) + \sigma_{n}^{2} \cdot \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$$

# $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in \mathbb{R}^m_{>0}$ , where *m* is the number of search queries we consider $\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}'_i \in \mathbb{R}^z_{>0}, z < m, C$ query clusters based on frequency time series

### Squared Exponential (SE) kernel

$$k_{\text{SE}}(\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}'_i) = \sigma^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{c}'_i\|_2^2}{2\ell^2}\right)$$

Lampos *et al.* (2015), *Sci. Rep.*; Rasmussen, Williams (2006), MIT Press



COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search

Composite Gaussian Process (GP) kernel



## Modelling ILI rates with Gaussian Process (GP) kernels





COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search

11

# Modelling ILI rates with Gaussian Process (GP) kernels



- .95 bivariate correlation (previously .89) with CDC rates



COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search

# 42% mean absolute error reduction compared to Google Flu Trends



# Modelling ILI rates with Gaussian Process (GP) kernels



@lampos 🔰

COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search

### 42% mean absolute error reduction compared to Google Flu Trends .95 bivariate correlation (previously .89) with CDC rates



### Autoregression (ARIMAX)

$$y_{t} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \phi_{i} y_{t-d} + \sum_{i=1}^{J} \omega_{i} y_{t-52-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \omega_{i} y_{t-52-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \sum_$$

- d weeks delay in including past ILI rates as reported by CDC
- Choose model parameters based on the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC)
  - sometimes past seasons are helpful, but not always
  - the most important piece of information is the GP estimate for the ILI rate based on web search query frequencies





Lampos *et al.* (2015), *Sci. Rep.* 







### Modelling ILI rates with Gaussian Process kernes & ARIMAX



- ► .99 bivariate correlation with CDC



### Incorporating historical CDC estimates into an autoregression (AR) using ARIMAX 27% MAE reduction compared to GFT with AR, 52% over the GP model without AR



- Feature selection was based on a temporal relationship
  - Is this sufficient? No / not always
- Spurious search queries such as "NBA injury report" or "muscle building supplements" were still included in the selection
  - query clustering: some guarantees for different treatment, but needs a more complex regression model
- Introduce a query filter based on distributional semantics
- No need to use a supervised solution (hard to obtain labels)
- Hybrid combination this with previous feature selection regimes



- Lampos et al. (2015), Sci. Rep.; Lampos, Zou, Cox (2017), WWW '17
  - COMP0084 Tracking COVID-19 using online search



### Query selection based on distributional semantics

# $\sin\left(q,\mathbb{C}\right) = -\frac{1}{\Sigma}$

 $\mathbf{e}_{(.)}$ : embedding vector (trained on Twitter data)  $\mathbb{C} = \{\mathbb{C}_P, \mathbb{C}_N\} - a \text{ concept about influenza}$  $\mathbb{C}_P$  : *n*-grams of a **positive** context for concept  $\mathbb{C}$  $\mathbb{C}_N$  : *n*-grams of a negative context for concept  $\mathbb{C}$  $\theta = \cos(\cdot) \rightarrow \in [0,1]$  via  $(\theta + 1)/2$  to avoid negative components  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  to avoid, in theory, division by 0



COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search

$$\sum_{i=1}^{P} \cos\left(\mathbf{e}_{q}, \mathbf{e}_{p_{i}}\right)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \cos\left(\mathbf{e}_{q}, \mathbf{e}_{n_{j}}\right) + \gamma$$

Lampos, Zou, Cox (2017), WWW '17; Levy, Goldberg (2014), CoNLL '14

17

### Query selection based on distributional semantics

Positive context	Negative context	Most similar queries
#flu fever flu flu medicine GP hospital	Bieber Ebola Wikipedia	"cold flu medicine" "flu aches" "cold and flu" "cold flu symptoms" "colds and flu"
flu flu GP flu hospital flu medicine	Ebola Wikipedia	"flu aches" "flu" "colds and flu" "cold and flu" "cold flu medicine"

COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search



Lampos, Zou, Cox (2017), WWW '17







Feature selection based on correlation and regularised regression

COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search



### Feature selection based on correlation and regularised regression



### Examples of problematic query selections

prof. *surname*: 70% name surname: 27% heating oil: 21%

COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search



name surname recipes: 21% blood game: 12.3% swine flu vaccine side effects: 7.2%



### Hybrid feature selection: distributional semantics and correlation



- 12.3% accuracy improvement in terms of mean absolute error .913 bivariate correlation with the ground truth (RCGP ILI rates)



COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search

21





gov.uk/government/statistics/ national-flu-and-covid-19surveillance-reports-2021to-2022-season







# Why estimate disease rates from web search?

- **Complements** conventional syndromic surveillance systems
  - larger cohort
  - broader demographic coverage
  - broader, more granular geographic coverage
  - not affected by closure days and other temporal biases
  - ► timeliness
  - Iower cost

### oxymoron: public health data is needed to train machine learning models!

### Track novel infectious diseases

Conventional (traditional) syndromic surveillance methods: disease prevalence, i.e. the % of infected people in a population, is determined via doctor (GP) visits and other related indicators, such as laboratoryconfirmed infections, associated hospitalisations or deaths.



COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search

Wagner et al. (2018), Sci. Rep.; Budd et al. (2020), Nat. Med.





# Transfer learning for disease modelling from web search activity from one location to another

COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search



# Part B

Zou, Lampos, Cox (2019), WWW '19



## Transfer learning across countries from flu models from web search

- Transfer learning in general
  - Gain knowledge from one domain/task, apply it to another one
- Transfer learning for estimating flu rates across different countries
  - Locations: source (no missing data), target (no disease rates)
  - regularised regression model for a source location based on web search activity and historical disease rates
  - map search queries from the source to the target location - semantic similarity (bilingual if necessary)

    - temporal similarity
    - hybrid similarity (their linear combination controlled by  $\gamma$ )
  - transfer regression model





### Transferring a flu model based on web searches: from US to France



How similar are the flu rates between the US and France (FR)? - temporal differences (e.g. different onset/peak moments), intensity differences





### Transferring a flu model based on web searches: from US to France





### Transferring a flu model based on web searches: from US to Australia



How similar are the flu rates between the US and Australia (AU)? — different (≈opposite) seasons, significant intensity differences in more recent years





### Transferring a flu model based on web searches: from US to Australia







# Part C Tracking COVID-19 using online search

Lampos et al. (2021), npj Digit. Med.





 $y_{L,d}$ 

number of times q was issued by users in location L during day d

total number of searches by users in location L during day d

**Unprecedented** search frequency trends during the first COVID-19 pandemic waves





**Google Health Trends:** frequency  $y_{L,d}$  of web search query q for a location L during a day d

COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search



31

# Challenges in modelling COVID-19 using web search activity

- No reliable and not enough ground truth data
  - Supervised learning no longer possible can we use transfer learning?
  - Evaluation of any model will be problematic
- Unsupervised learning
  - Which search queries to use?

  - and media coverage rather than by infection?



### How do we know our model is related to COVID-19 and not other infectious diseases?

How do we know our signal is not affected by other factors such as concern, curiosity,



# First few hundred (FF100) patient survey (NHS / UKHSA)



cough fatigue fever headache muscle ache appetite loss shortness of breath sore throat joint ache runny nose loss of the sense of smell diarrhoea sneezing nausea vomiting altered consciousness nose bleed rash seizure

Probability of occurrence in COVID-19 patients



COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search

				0.	78
				0.71	
			0.60		
		0.5	57		
		0.51			
	0.44				
	0.40				
С	.39				
0.34					
0.33					
9					
0.	.4 0.	.5 0	.6 0	.7 0	.8 0.

Boddington *et al.* (2021), *Bull. WHO* 



- cough: cough, coughing
- fatigue: fatigue
- fever: chills, fever, high temp fever, high temperature
- headache: head ache, headache, headaches, migraine
- muscle ache: muscle ache, muscular pain
- appetite loss: appetite loss, loss of appetite, lost appetite
- shortness of breath: breathing difficulties, breathing difficulty, cant breathe, shortness of breath, short breath
- loss of the sense of smell: anosmia, loss of smell, loss smell
- COVID-19 terms: coronavirus, covid, covid-19, covid19





- cough: tosse, tossire
- fatigue: affaticamento, fatica, spossatezza, stanchezza
- fever: alta temperatura, brividi, febbre
- headache: emicrania, mal di testa
- muscle ache: dolore muscolare, dolori muscolari, male ai muscoli, mialgia
- appetite loss: appetito perso, inappetenza, perdita appetito, perdita di appetito
- shortness of breath: difficoltà respiratoria, difficoltà respiratorie, fiato corto, mancanza di respiro, respiro corto
- ▶ ...
- Ioss of the sense of smell: perdita olfatto
- COVID-19 terms: coronavirus, covid, covid-19, covid19





Our analysis considered the following countries and corresponding languages:

- United States of America (US), United Kingdom (UK), Australia, Canada English
- France French
- Italy Italian
- South Africa Zulu, Afrikaans, English, and many more
- ► Greece Greek



COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search

### Symptom-related search terms — *Locations (countries) & languages*



1. Query frequencies are **noisy** 2. Query frequencies are not stationary (increasing mean) linear detrending

Google Trends Explor	re	
• headache Search term		
United Kingdom 🔻	9/1/11 - 8/31/19 🔻	All categories
Interest over time		
100		
75		
50	$\sim$	
25		
Sep 1, 2011	Feb	1, 2014



### COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search

# harmonic smoothing using the frequencies of the past 2 weeks





- 3. For each symptom category, obtain the frequency sum across all its search terms (cumulative symptom-related search frequency) on a daily basis
- 4. Apply min-max normalisation on the cumulative frequency of each symptom category; values become from 0 to 1 and all categories now share units
- 5. Compute a daily weighted score using the FF100 symptom probabilities as weights
- 6. Use the previous 8 years (2011-2019) to obtain a historical baseline of this scoring function





For a given *day* and *location* 

- proportion of COVID-19-related news articles:  $m \in [0,1]$
- COVID-19 score based on web searches:  $g \in [0,1]$

**Decompose** g such that  $g = g_p + g_c$ 

- $-g_p$  represents 'infection'
- $-g_c$  represents 'concern'
- Then  $\gamma \in [0,1]$  exists such that

$$-g_p = \gamma g$$

$$-g_c = (1-\gamma)g$$







Linear autoregressive model to forecast g at a time point t based on its past values  $\arg\min_{\mathbf{w},b_1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \left( g_t - w_1 g_{t-1} - w_2 g_{t-2} - b_1 \right)^2$ 

Linear autoregressive model to forecast g at a time point t based on its past values and the current and past values of *m* 

$$\arg\min_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{v},b_2} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \left( g_t - w_1 g_{t-1} - w_2 g_{t-2} - v_1 m_t - v_2 m_{t-1} - v_3 m_{t-2} - b_2 \right)^2$$

•  $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2$ : the media signal does not help  $\rightarrow \gamma \approx 1$ 

•  $\epsilon_1 \geq \epsilon_2 : \gamma = \epsilon_2/\epsilon_1$  (crude estimation)



COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search



$$)^2 \rightarrow \text{ prediction error } \epsilon_1$$

 $\rightarrow$  prediction error  $\epsilon_2$ 



- Data obtained from the Media Cloud database mediacloud.org
- Number of news media sources per country

US	225
UK	93
Australia	61
Canada	79
France	360
Italy	178
Greece	75
South Africa	135

title or main text e.g. "covid" or "coronavirus"



### News media coverage corpus

### Obtain the daily ratio of articles that include basic COVID-19-related keywords in their

COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search

41

- > 0 frequency from ~January, 2020 onwards
- ~2.5 million COVID-19-related articles from a total of ~10 million







COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search

### Data obtained from September 30, 2019 to May 24, 2020

### Average proportion of COVID-19-related news articles in the 8 countries of our analysis





Normalised online search score for COVID-19

@lampos 🔰

COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search





@lampos 🔰









@lampos 🔰

Reducing news media effects:

- Altered trend during peak periods
- Average reduction by 16.4% (14.2%–18.7%) in a period of 14 days prior and after their peak moments, r = .822 (.739–.905)
- ► Reduction of 3.3% (2.7%-4%) outside peak periods





## Comparison with confirmed COVID-19 cases



Standardised time series trend (z-score)

@lampos 🔰

COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search

Web search activity based models provide an early warning

 $r_{\rm max} = .83 (.74 - .92)$ when cases are brought forward by 16.7 (10.2–23.2) days

(South Africa is excluded)







### Comparison with *deaths of people with COVID-19*



@lampos 🔰

COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search

Web search activity based models provide an early warning

 $r_{\rm max} = .85 (.70 - .99)$ 

when deaths of people with COVID-19 are brought forward by 22.1 (17.4–26.9) days

(South Africa is excluded)





- stages of the epidemic
- "Supervised" learning approach
  - corroborate our previous unsupervised findings
  - reporting system
- Source country: Italy
  - first major outbreak in Europe and among the countries in our study



• Transfer an incidence model — trained on web search activity — for a source country that has already experienced a COVID-19 epidemic to other *target* countries that are on earlier

will also transfer characteristics/biases of the source country, and especially of its clinical

COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search



49

# Transfer learning for COVID-19 incidence models

- **Source model**: regularised regression (*elastic net*)
  - use daily search query frequencies to estimate confirmed cases
  - Italy is our source country

$$\arg\min_{\mathbf{w},\beta} \left( \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Sw} - \beta\|_2^2 + \lambda_1 \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 + \lambda_2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \right)$$

$$\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}: M \text{ daily freq}$$
$$\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}: \text{ regress}$$
$$\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}: \text{ regularisa}$$

Many regression models (~80K) — different regularisation amount

- sparsity levels from 5.5% to 91%
- 3 to 49 selected queries from the 54 we considered for Italy use this to quantify the model's uncertainty



- $\mathbf{u}$  uencies of N search terms sion weights and intercept ition parameters



- Establish search query pairs between the source and the target countries
  - Iookup for query pairs within the same symptom category
  - pair a source query to the target query with the greatest bivariate correlation, after identifying an optimal shifting period
- Transfer the regression weights from the source to the target feature space for all ~80K elastic net models
  - Final estimate of COVID-19 incidence is the mean over all models
  - .025 and .975 quantiles are used to form 95% confidence intervals
- Perform this daily from Feb. 17 to May 24, 2020, training models on increasing data from the source country



COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search



51

# Transfer learning for COVID-19 incidence models





COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search



## Transfer learning for COVID-19 incidence models — In practice





COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search



### Transfer learning vs. unsupervised learning





COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search



## Transfer learning vs. unsupervised learning





COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search

**Correlation** between the transferred models and the unsupervised models with reduced media effects

•  $r_{\text{max-avg}}$  = .80, when the *transferred* time series are brought 5 days forward





- Examine the statistical relationship between web search frequencies and confirmed COVID-19 cases (or deaths)
- Jointly for 4 English-speaking countries (US, UK, Australia, Canada)
  - attempt to reduce the bias of clinical endpoints in these different countries
  - focus on English-speaking countries for more comprehensive outcomes (without the need to translate searches)
- Use a broader set of search terms, not just symptom-related — figshare.com/projects/Tracking\_COVID-19\_using\_online\_search/81548
- Compute the joint bivariate correlation between search frequency and clinical indicators (cases or deaths) without any shifting and after shifting data so as to maximise it





### Correlation between web searches and COVID-19 cases

covid	
SARS-CoV-2	
SARS CoV 2	
COVID-19	
coronavirus rash	
stay home	
quarantine	
covid NHS	
coronavirus pink eye	
how long does covid last	
covid symptoms	
COVID19	
blue face	
sneeze	
coronavirus immunity	
lemsip	-0.41
migraine	-0.44
nCoV symptoms	-0.46
vomiting	-0.46
vomit	-0.60
-C	.8 -0.4







### Maximised correlation between web searches and COVID-19 cases

coronavirus dizziness						0.8	0
SARS-CoV-2						0.79	<b>}</b>
SARS CoV 2						0.76	
quarantine						0.74	
COVID-19						0.74	
coronavirus rash						0.73	
covid NHS						0.73	
COVID-19 WHO						0.73	
coronavirus stomach pain						0.73	
covid symptoms						0.72	
coronavirus test						0.72	
covid						0.72	
how long does COVID-19 last						0.71	
coronavirus drugs						0.71	
COVID19						0.71	
muscular pain	-0	0.33					
feeling tired	-0.3	37					
seizure	-0.48						
vomit	-0.51						
migraine	-0.56						
-(	).7	-0.3	С	0.1	0.5		1.

Maximised correlation with confirmed COVID-19 cases

COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search



0





- Same 4 English speaking countries (US, UK, Australia, Canada)
- Joint approach again
- Multivariate regression analysis
  - Learn many elastic net models for different levels of sparsity (50%-99% to reduce the chance of overfitting) to jointly estimate cases or deaths based on web search data in these 4 countries
  - Train on data up to day d, test performance on the next day, d+1
  - Repeat this daily from the 2nd of March to the 24th of May, 2020
  - Use ground truth to find the best solution at each sparsity level
  - Compute the impact (average across all days) of each search term in the best solution at each density level





-20

	-3	.5
	-3	87
	-4.7	75
	-5 68	8
-12 78		
12.70		

-6

covid blue face quarantine anosmia coronavirus mask appetite loss SARS CoV 2 coronavirus pink eye cant breathe loss appetite nasal congestion difficulty breathing rash coronavirus holidays chest tightness respiratory symptoms nose bleeding chills coronavirus cdc vomit

Estimation impact % (confirmed COVID-19 cases)

COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search



### Regression analysis – confirmed COVID-19 cases





### Regression analysis – *deaths of people with COVID-19*

covid					36.29
SARS CoV 2				30.08	3
quarantine			<b>1</b> 3.21		
appetite loss			<b>12.67</b>		
blue face			10.28		
SARS-CoV-2		9	9.48		
coronavirus pink eye		9	.05		
rash		8.	.80		
loss appetite		7.6	1		
loss taste		6.50			
nose bleed		5.13			
head ache		4.31			
nasal congestion		3.13			
coronavirus chest xray		2.85			
how long does covid last		2.70			
tylenol	-4.13				
feeling tired	-4.29				
coronavirus high blood pressure	-5.25				
tiredness	-10.40				
diarrhea	-15.26				

-6

-22

Estimation impact % (confirmed COVID-19 deaths)

11

27

43





used in our analysis) elsewhere?

- Test this hypothesis from Feb. 17 to April 19, 2020 a 4-week period after the corresponding peak in confirmed cases or deaths in Italy is added • Cases or deaths in Italy Granger-caused < 27.5% of the considered search terms across the
- 7 other countries in our analysis
- > 70% of the search terms used in our analysis are not affected
- This analysis does not account for the fact that cases and deaths might have been rising in **both locations** at the same time
- We also attempt to reduce news media effects in the final signal
- For Italy itself the early-warning provided by the unsupervised signal with reduced media effects is 14 and 18 days compared to confirmed cases and deaths, respectively



Did the outbreak in Italy cause an increase in the frequency of the web searches (the ones



















# RCGP swabbing scheme for estimating COVID-19 prevalence in England

### The Royal College of General Practitioners (**RCGP**) swabbing scheme included people with no COVID-19-related symptoms $\rightarrow$ better capturing community-level spread



@lampos 🔰







COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search



- signals, is not possible
  - No definitive ground truth exists
- Difficult to use national-level indicators for policy making
  - More geographically granular models are needed there is data to support this now in some countries
    - pair-code.github.io/covid19\_symptom\_dataset
  - Better integration with conventional epidemiological models is required
- Limited applicability to locations with lower rates of Internet access



• A thorough evaluation of our findings, no matter our efforts to mitigate against confounding

COMP0084 - Tracking COVID-19 using online search



65





gov.uk/government/statistics/ national-flu-and-covid-19surveillance-reports-2021to-2022-season







- Web search activity can be used for infectious disease monitoring
  - Google Flu Trends "failed" because of its methodological flaws
  - ML and NLP provide the tools to get this right
- We can transfer disease models based on web search data to locations that don't have (sufficient) syndromic surveillance data
- Unsupervised models based on web search activity
  - demand a careful design
  - could be very informative especially when nothing else works
- Searches about common COVID-19 symptoms are not necessarily great COVID-19 prevalence indicators
- Will we continue to use the plethora of data generated during this pandemic to develop better disease modelling techniques?







### Collaborators

Ingemar J. Cox (UCL), Elad Yom-Tov (Microsoft Research), Richard Pebody (WHO), Bin Zou (UCL), Andrew Miller (Apple), Michael Edelstein (Bar Ilan), Maimuna Majumder (Harvard), Lele Rangaka (UCL), Rachel McKendry (UCL), Michael Morris (UCL), Moritz Wagner (LSHTM), and many more

### **Contributing Organisations**

Microsoft Research, Google, Royal College of General Practitioners (RCGP), UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA; formerly known as Public Health England)

### Funding EPSRC (*i*-sense), Google, MRC (*VirusWatch*)







- (12760), 2015. doi:10.1038/srep12760
- 2019. doi:10.1145/3308558.3313477
- 3. Lampos, Majumder, Yom-Tov et al. Tracking COVID-19 using online search. npj Digital Medicine 4 (17), 2021. doi:10.1038/ s41746-021-00384-w
- 4. Eysenbach. Infodemiology: tracking flu-related searches on the web for syndromic surveillance. AMIA, pp. 244-248, 2006.
- 5. Polgreen, Chen, Pennock, Nelson. Using internet searches for influenza surveillance. Clinical Infectious Diseases 47 (11), pp. 1443-1448, 2008. doi:10.1086/593098
- doi:10.1038/nature07634
- 7. Wagner, Lampos, Cox, Pebody. The added value of online user-generated content in traditional methods for influenza surveillance. Scientific Reports 8 (13963), 2018. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-32029-6
- doi:10.1038/s41591-020-1011-4
- 9. Rasmussen, Williams. *Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning*. MIT Press, 2006.
- doi:10.1145/3038912.3052622
- W14-1618
- 12. Boddington et al. COVID-19 in Great Britain: epidemiological and clinical characteristics of the first few hundred (FF100) cases: a descriptive case series and case control analysis. Bulletin WHO 99, pp. 178-189, 2021. doi:10.2471/BLT.20.265603



1. Lampos, Miller, Crossan, Stefansen. Advances in nowcasting influenza-like illness rates using search query logs. Scientific Reports 5

2. Zou, Lampos, Cox. Transfer learning for unsupervised influenza-like illness models from online search data. WWW '19, pp. 2505-2516,

6. Ginsberg, Mohebbi, Patel et al. Detecting influenza epidemics using search engine query data. Nature 457, pp. 1012–1014, 2009.

8. Budd, Miller, Manning et al. Digital technologies in the public-health response to COVID-19. Nature Medicine 26, pp. 1183-1192, 2020.

10. Lampos, Zou, Cox. Enhancing feature selection using word embeddings: The case of flu surveillance. WWW '17, pp. 695-704, 2017.

11. Levy, Goldberg. Linguistic regularities in sparse and explicit word representations. CoNLL '14, pp. 171-180, 2014. doi:10.3115/v1/



